The Campus Planning Subcommittee met on March 20, from 4:00pm – 5:00pm, in Rm CL-213.

Associate Vice Chancellor of Campus Planning Lori Yamauchi provided the subcommittee with an overview of the intermediate and long-term plans for the following campus locations:

- **Mission Bay**
  Members reviewed the plans for the development of the Mission Bay campus. The presentation focused around north and south campus. There was also a review of City plans to develop the surrounding infrastructure and a discussion on how the surrounding properties will be developed by private firms. Members were made aware that a workplace study was being done on Mission Hall and that outcomes of the study would influence the future workspace planning for other buildings.

- **Parnassus**
  Members were informed of the UCSF Space Cap requirements and the plans the university has to get under the cap. This includes the demolition of several buildings and a reconfiguration of UC Hall to include new student housing. Members were then informed of the plans to retrofit and remodel Clinical Sciences starting in 2015 and UC Hall starting around 2017. Finally, there was a review of the plans to build a new hospital on the footprint of LPPI.

- **Laurel Heights**
  Members were informed that the Laurel Heights campus was sold to a private developer. UCSF now has five to ten years to vacate the property. The goal for UCSF is to take no more than five years. Right now the university is in contact with all of the departments residing in LH to determine where they would like to be moved.

- **SFGH**
  Members were informed that UCSF faculty and staff reside in seismically compromised buildings. Most of these buildings are designed as historical structures and cannot be remodeled and retrofitted without significant expense. To address safe space needs, UCSF seeks to build a new facility on an existing parking lot. With concerns over neighborhood parking, this planning process has taken a considerable amount of time.

- **Mt. Zion**
  Members were informed that the Hellman and Brunn Buildings are slated to be demolished. Both of these buildings have been deemed seismically challenged. New buildings will be considered when the funding becomes available.

- **Subcommittee Comments:**
- **Mission Hall Study:** Salah Adi suggested that if the Mission Hall Study results show that the activity-based work stations reduce faculty productivity and well-being, the university leadership should consider moving the administrators from the Laurel Heights campus into the Mission Hall space and the faculty into improved workstations, with more privacy and space, in the buildings planned for Lots 33 and 34.

- **Moving Staff to Emeryville:** The Medical Center recently announced that they would be moving finance administration to Emeryville. It was noted that this is a concern for faculty, especially in Pediatrics, since this will create communication barriers between the faculty and staff. A comment was made that, in general, this new model of moving administration off site, or across the bay, could be problematic.

- **Child Care Building:** AVC Yamauchi informed the group that the Child Care building was slated to be only temporary and that the university is focusing on multiple alternatives. Some of these include integrating a new child care center with student housing, or of contracting a center off-site. Subcommittee members applauded the idea that the university was considering establishing a new child care center, but warned against moving the center off-site as faculty, students and staff need close and accessible child-care.

- **Cal Train Stop:** Members suggested that the university request that Cal Train examine the feasibility of establishing a new station at 16th street. A new station would save UCSF community members and visitors a considerable amount of time and would reduce Warriors arena traffic.