Coordinating Committee
Farid Chehab, PhD, Chair

Monday, May 5, 2014
2:00 – 4:00 pm
Room S 30 or by phone
1-866-394-9509; 83 76 386#


ABSENT: Jyu-Lin Chen, Jacque Duncan, Xiaojuan Li, Sri Nagarajan, Robert Newcomer, Phil Rosenthal, George Rutherford, Ellen Weber

GUESTS: Daniel Dohan, Chair, Telemedicine Task Force; Renee Navarro, Associate Vice Chancellor, Diversity & Outreach; Kimberly Topp, Chair, Physical Rehabilitation Sciences Department; Annette Cawley, School of Nursing

Chair Chehab called the meeting to order at 2:05 pm in room S 30. A quorum was present.

CONSENT CALENDAR*
Approval of Minutes of April 7, 2014 (Attachment 1) with editorial changes.

Chair’s Report – Farid Chehab
Chair Chehab advised that both the Composite Benefit Rate and Senate Bylaw 55 are continuing to be handled.

Composite Benefit Rate
On the Composite Benefit Rate, the UCSF Y factor is equivalent to the summer salary factor at other campuses. UCOP is making these changes as it no longer works for there to be fourteen different rates, as varied by campuses; UCOP is modifying this to around seven.

Senate Bylaw 55
This bylaw was supported at UCSF, however at a full UCOP all campus vote, it was not supported and “killed.” As such, no campus will be moving forward with implementing the bylaw. It was to impact Health Sciences Clinical Series faculty only, it was not to impact Adjunct Series. UCSF still maintains that HSC and Adjunct Faculty have the right to vote in matters that impact their departments.

Chancellor’s Executive Committee
Gift Tax Update
Senate Chair Chehab advised on campus leadership responses to Senate recommendations of:
(1) Gift tax be progressive;
(2) Create a Senate fund to be distributed to faculty (as a return-to-aid program)
(3) Have the gift tax be steadily increased by two percent annually, over a six year period.

These options were presented to the Chancellor’s Council as an informational item. They were separately discussed by the Budget & Investment Committee, UCSF. While campus leadership was concerned by overall faculty response, only item # 3 was perceived as feasible to implement.

**Space Planning and Governance Policies and Procedures**

Implementation of these policies was discussed by the Executive Committee. The overall questions focused on how to communicate these policies to the campus and faculty. An initial review by Committee on Research raised some specific questions around implementation which they will address with Space Committee Co-chair Bruce Wintroub when he attends their June 2014 meeting.

**Climate Survey Presentation – Renee Navarro, Associate Vice Chancellor, Diversity & Outreach**

AVC Navarro provided an overview of the Climate Survey Results. A link to these is also on the Academic Senate website. Various committees around campus have been examining the results including those focused on diversity, status/advancement of women, LGBT, and various outreach committees.

Results showed a gap in communication such that forty-two percent of faculty don’t think UCSF provides resources to balance work-life issues. UCOP houses the data so if UCSF wants to re-examine it, they must make a specific request.

Chair Green, FWC, advised that UCSF shouldn’t be happy that twenty-five percent of faculty aren’t comfortable at UCSF. That percentage is still too high. FWC will discuss this at their next meeting.

**Genetic Testing of Students – Daniel Dohan, Chair, Telemedicine Task Force (Attachment 2)**

Guest Dohan discussed efforts to create a campuswide policy around this. It's focus would be on educational policy and not on each course or project which involves student genetic testing obtaining IRB approval. He asked the Coordinating Committee if that is achievable, wondered as to the pathway to create it, and invited feedback.

Coordinating Committee members proposed that Student Academic Affairs was the first step. Each School could help identify potential risks after which the Academic Senate can review and approve.

By way of background, this is already happening in some SOP courses; in each, it was IRB-approved. The Committee on Courses of Instruction reviewed and approved. These courses intend to show students how to interpret genetic-pharmacology results.

UCB and Stanford have also explored however UCB opted not to pursue. Stanford moved forward and continues to do so. They have a large program set up to accomplish student genetic testing. Their program is much like what UCSF is exploring implementing.

Coordinating Committee members asked the following questions:

- Do students understand the potential outcome and its impact on themselves and families?
- This seems to be a tangential experience in some coursework—and not the primary focus of the course itself. Is this actually/truly genetic testing, or a risky way to learn something through experience?
  - COC members posed other risky experiential examples such as global rotations in Graduate Medical Education that are in at-risk countries, and at-risk for UCSF students or postdocs (LGBT students in Uganda).
- How long would such data be kept, and where would it be stored?
- Should students receive a two-week course in bioethics before being conducting such testing on themselves?
School representatives attending the meeting advised that anything learned from such testing wouldn’t impact a student’s life insurance (medical information learned is outside of the educational record, and is covered by the State of California non-discrimination policies).

**Academic Senate Staff Update – Alison Cleaver**
Faculty Research Lecture in Translational Science – John and Deborah Greenspan
May 29, 2013, 3:30-5:00 pm in Cole Hall and via simulcast

**Program Proposals and Legislative Revisions**
Committee members reviewed and approved the proposal for the PhD Program in Physical Rehabilitation. This represents a brand new PhD program at UCSF.

**MOTION: Approve the proposal**
**ACTION: Approved**

Separately, the Committee tentatively reviewed the proposal for the Doctorate in Nursing Program. Upon preliminary review, COC members opted to postpone discussion until the full report was available and had been approved/coordinated with the other UC campuses which are jointly developing the program. The Systemwide Coordinating Committee on Graduate Affairs (CCGA) has separately advised that they review this proposed proposal at their level only once all Schools developing a program have coordinated with each other and there is a single proposal to review.

**MOTION: Approve the proposal**
**ACTION: Postponed until Fall 2014 (pending completion of other UC campuses’ proposals)**

**Old Business**
None

**New Business**
None

**Adjournment**
Chair Chehab adjourned the meeting at 4:05pm

---

*Agenda items deemed noncontroversial by the Chair, may be placed on a Consent Calendar agenda item. Approval of all business on the Consent Calendar requires a single unanimous vote. At the request of a committee member, any Consent Calendar item may be extracted for consideration under “New Business” later in the agenda.*