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GUESTS: Ruth Greenblatt, Committee on Committees Member

The Committee on Academic Planning and Budget (APB) was called to order by Chair Gray on January 26, 2012 at 1:12 p.m. in room CL 222.

The minutes of October 6, 2011 were approved with one minor revision.

Chair’s Report – Mary Gray
Chair Gray reported that the proposed changes to APM 670 (Health Sciences Compensation Plan or HSCP) and proposed new APM 668 (Negotiated Salary Plan) have been reviewed by the UC Systemwide Academic Senate. The UC Systemwide Academic Senate generally supported the proposed revisions to the HSCP (APM 670) but did not support the creation of a negotiated salary program for faculty outside the HSCP (APM 668). Both matters are now being considered by UC Systemwide academic affairs administration to determine the next steps.

The UCSF Academic Senate will form a workgroup to complement the Chancellor’s Finance and Governance group. APB will be included in the process.

Report from the University Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB) – Mary Gray, UCPB Representative
Chair Gray reported that UCPB continues to discuss the efforts to reevaluate the UC funding structures.

In 2011, a UC Systemwide process focused on the revenues coming in to UC, i.e. UC’s “Funding Streams”. That discussion concluded in 2011 and resulted in a change in policy that now allows each campus retains the revenue it generates. However, each campus is assessed (taxed) to support UC Systemwide efforts (via UCOP) at 1.6% of campus expenditures. The UCSF assessment based on expenditures would be $49 million dollars, contributing 18% of the UCOP budget.

The “Rebenching” discussion was initiated in 2011 and continues in 2012 to re-define how state (and other) funds are allocated to all the UC campuses. The current proposal is to distribute funds to campuses based on a weighted student count (i.e. undergraduates = 1; graduate students = 3;
As UCSF does not have undergraduate students, this model is not viable for our campus.

Committee members discussed the Rebenching and Funding Streams efforts further, noting that although the UCSF campus currently benefits from Medical Center profits, in the future the Medical Center will experience periods when it is not profitable. Some argued that UC and UCSF should remain flexible in this context.

Executive Director’s Report – Heather Alden
The next Faculty Research Lecture in Basic Science will be held on February 14, 2012, 3:30-5:00 pm in Rock Hall at Mission Bay (live and recorded video will also be available), honoring Ying Hui Fu, PhD and Louis Ptacek, MD for their work in the field of neurodegenerative disorders focusing on identifying genes for fragile X mental retardation and familial advanced sleep phase syndrome.

Committee on Committees (COC) Report – Ruth Greenblatt, COC Member and Liaison to APB
Ruth Greenblatt is a member of the Committee on Committees and assigned as the Liaison to APB. She introduced herself and presented some issues COC has discussed in relation to APB.

- What specific skills or interests should COC look for when appointing APB members for 2012-13?
- Has APB discussed the impact of the new NIH faculty salary cap?
- Has APB considered reviewing and evaluating the financial impact of the implementation of the Operational Excellence initiatives?

APB members will consider these recommendations and may discuss them further at future meetings.

Long Range Development Plan Update (Attachment) – Lori Yamauchi, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Campus Planning
L. Yamauchi provided an update on the current Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) process. The current process should conclude in May 2014. The key issues discussed by committee members included the following:

- The current recommendation for UC Hall is to retrofit the building and use it for faculty offices and housing. Housing does not count against the current space cap for the Parnassus campus, established in the 1960s when UCSF considered expanding to a 25,000 student undergraduate campus.
- The current recommendation for the Moffitt building is to replace it on the LPPI footprint rather than retrofit it.

In four to five months, L. Yamauchi will have more to report on how the Academic Programs will be configured, as well as Development options. She expects the LRDP Research subcommittee to report at the next LRDP meeting.

Committee members asked L. Yamauchi the following questions:

Q: What is the status of UCSF’s relationship to Laguna Honda High School?
LY: UCSF no longer has a relationship to Laguna Honda High School.

Q: What will happen to spaces like the Buchanan Dental Clinic?
LY: The Buchanan Dental Clinics are property of the Regents. There are currently no plans to change that status. UCSF also leases a large number of spaces across the city. One possibility would be to consolidate leased spaces into single spaces to negotiate better rent and/or move them on to campus.

Q: What about Phase Two for Mission Bay?
LY: No current proposals to build out Phase Two.
Q: What about Long Hospital?
LY: Long Hospital will pass the 2030 seismic standards. It is built like a fortress.

Q: What about Mount Zion?
LY: Mount Zion inpatient services will move to Mission Bay.

Committee on Academic Planning and Budget Participation in Program Reviews – Mary Gray, Farid Chehab and Heather Alden
The UC Systemwide Compendium includes APB participation in program reviews. A review of Academic Senate records indicates that the last time APB published a response to a program review was in 2004 for the Institute for Global Health. APB needs to come back into compliance with these rules and participate in reviews or proposals for new academic programs. APB may also want to take on a role in the cyclic review of existing programs.

Graduate Council and the Graduate Division currently coordinates reviews of new academic programs and proposed changes to academic programs. Chair Gray will work with Graduate Council to determine the next steps to re-integrate APB into the review process. She will also discuss opportunities for APB to participate in the cyclic review process of existing programs.

Old Business
None.

New Business
None.

Adjournment
Chair Gray adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m.
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