The Committee on Academic Planning and Budget (APB) was called to order by Chair Cheung on February 17, 2011 at 1:00 p.m. in room S 118.

The minutes of January 20, 2011 were approved.

Chair’s Report and Report from the University Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB)
Chair Cheung reported on the recent discussions at UCPB. Agendas and minutes of UCPB meetings will be posted to the UCPB Web page.

The primary issue at hand is the $500 million cut to the UC system, and Angela Hawkins is scheduled to brief the Committee in how to address the cuts to this campus later in this meeting. System-wide, UCOP is expected to cut their operating expenses to lessen the burden to the campuses. Other concerns include the possibilities of reducing enrollment and limiting of access of qualified students to a UC education.

Any suggestions or ideas for local cuts are welcome to Chair Cheung. The Committee discussed implications of the elimination of, or drastic reduction, to the University of California’s Office of the President in the interest of operational efficiency and elimination of duplication of effort. This is the first year that the UCPB will get a detailed look at the UCOP budget. A list of UCOP-funded programs is forthcoming from Provost Pitts.

The Committee decided to invite Mary Croughan to speak about her perspective regarding research support from UCOP. The Committee also decided to invite EVC Bluestone to the next meeting to discuss UCSF’s relationship with industry and the return on investment on current and past industry partnerships.

Discussion continued regarding the role of UCOP, state funding, and campus earmarks.

Report from the APB Subcommittee Investigating Possible Changes to the Health Sciences Compensation Plan to Allow for Greater External Activity
Vice Chair Gray led the Committee in a discussion and analysis of the proposed modifications to the Health Sciences Compensation Plan drafted by the APB sub committee (Attachment 1).
VPAA Sally Marshall noted that APM 670 is currently under revision and will be sent to the campuses for approval soon and expressed some significant timing concerns for submitting new revisions to APM 670. However, VPAA Marshall asked to share the draft executive summary with her system-wide counterpart in an effort to include these concerns in the current revision process.

The Committee and VPAA Marshall found the first two points, Compensation Limit on Occasional Outside Professional Activities and Time Limit on Compensated Outside Professional Activities, straightforward, reasonable, and non-controversial. The third point, Categories of Income from Occasional Outside Activities Which May Be Retained, may be more complicated, particularly for non Health Sciences faculty to appreciate. There may need to be some education for those on other campuses as to why the criteria for those under the Health Sciences Compensation Plan differ from others.

Percent time, full time, and new limits on “outside time” may have some complex implications regarding percent time on NIH grants.

D. Weiss recommended explicitly stating the retention of compensation for expert witness testimony be treated as honoraria and not calculated in compensation limits or taxation. Several members felt that expert witness work amounted to consulting and should be included in outside income tabulation. Other concerns centered on non-patient care activities, as these changes will benefit some faculty more so than others depending on area of work. The intent is to benefit as many faculty as possible without disenfranchising those more limited professional outside income opportunities.

The Committee did agree to strike the last line of Point 1 of the executive summary as not to raise the idea of taxation unnecessarily.

\textbf{Motion:} Shall the Committee make this draft available to Vice Provost Academic Affairs Sally Marshall to share informally with Sue Carlson, UC system-wide Vice Provost Academic Affairs for review in light of the pending changes to APM 670.

\textbf{Vote:} The motion passed unanimously.

Strategically, it was suggested that we share these suggestions informally with other health sciences campuses before we send it up through the system-wide Senate process so that it may have multi-campus support before being kicked up the chain.

The revised draft of the proposed changes will be sent to the Committee on Faculty Welfare and a member or members of the APB subcommittee will address the Committee on Faculty Welfare for their perspective and feedback, particularly regarding the inclusiveness of Point 3, Categories of Income from Occasional Outside Activities Which May Be Retained.

\textbf{Strategies Under Discussion to Address the New $500 Million Reduction in State Funds to the UC System}

Angela Hawkins, Assistant Vice Chancellor, Budget & Resource Management gave an informal and informational presentation regard various strategies under discussion at the system-wide and local level to address the new cuts to UC funding by the new Governor Jerry Brown. UCSF’s share of the cut is estimated at $26 million. However, UCOP has not considered cuts to their line items yet, which would reduce the allotted reductions to the other campuses. UCOP line items, such as certain research grant programs are under consideration for reduction or elimination. All information and potential strategies are currently in a state of flux and details will not be included in these minutes. All materials are in the discussion and deliberation stage and any numbers presented for discussion are unreliable other than for purposes of discussion.
Old Business
None.

New Business
None.

Chair Cheung adjourned the meeting at 3:00 p.m.

Senate Staff:
Wilson Hardcastle, Senior Analyst
wilson.hardcastle@ucsf.edu; 415/476-4245