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PRESENT: G. Rutherford (Chair), R. Schneider (Vice Chair), D. Appollonio, L. Baxter-Lowe, C. Darling, D. Hudson,

ABSENT: L. Steinbach

PERMANENT GUEST: Karen Butter, University Librarian

GUEST(S): Marcus Banks, Julia Kochii

The Committee on Library (COL) was called to order by Chair Rutherford on October 8, 2009 at 10:10 a.m. in room CL-101. A quorum was present.

Chair’s Report
Chair Rutherford led introductions and reviewed the committee charge.

Minutes of the June 5, 2008 Meeting
The minutes of the June 5, 2008 meeting were unanimously approved as amended.

University Librarian’s Report
Budget
K. Butter reviewed the library budget cuts and the resultant reduction in library hours. While a majority of closures will take place during the holidays, the intent is to extend hours during finals. The Committee again emphasized that reduction of hours are preferred over salary cuts as it is easier to extend library hours versus reinstating/rehiring staff.

Collections – J. Kochii
J. Kochii gave an overview of the collections. About 120 journals will be cut and the library will be continuing the practice of cutting journals with online counterparts. For the first time, online journals are also being cut.

K. Butter commented that at a future meeting, she would like to strategize how to manage our collections in the future. Should we take the budget crisis and really do something dramatic? What strategies can we implement as an institution? R. Schneider added that these issues are being discussed systemwide as well.

University Committee on Library and Scholarly Communication
R. Schneider had these announcements from UCOLASC:

• The Committee is still dealing with the fallout from the Google Books Settlement. One of the major concerns is the use of ads during the search process. R. Schneider Last year dealt with
google books. There was a whole non-disclosure agreements. Downside, there will be ads.
Overall, grateful for the librarian's negotiation, the agreement still stinks.
- Other question: if google does this, and we want to put these materials into repositories, we
cannot do so for 20 years.
- We have to ask to recoup for costs. We get a digital copy back, but cannot do anything with that
copy.
- Important question for library: you have all of these skills on how to do research. These jobs will
now be done by google. All the dots will be connected by google. You could do the same search
on two different days and get completely different results. Further, these results could potentially
be fueled by money. Additionally, apparently their metadata is very poor.
- If google needs information about these references, they ask librarians. So librarians spend all of
this time researching mark records(machine readable card record) which librarians are unwilling
to hand over that intellectual property. Faculty think it's unfair that they have to hand over their IP
and librarians don't have to.

There is a motion for differential fees based on major. Like, more money for engineering and business.

**Old Business**
None.

**New Business**
None.

Chair Rutherford adjourned the meeting at 11:01 a.m.
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