COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIR OF THE
ACADEMIC SENATE COMMITTEE ON
ACADEMIC PLANNING AND BUDGET

June 19, 2009

J. Michael Bishop, MD
Chancellor and University Professor
S-126, Box 0402

RE: APB Review Control Point Budget Presentations and requests for Chancellor’s Stimulus Funds

Dear Chancellor Bishop:

The Committee on Academic Planning and Budget reviewed the budget presentations from each of the control points and their proposals for Chancellor’s stimulus funds. The Committee members reviewed each budget and proposal, and members participated in subgroups to review specific control point’s budget requests in detail to make recommendations to the Committee. The Committee engaged in extensive discussion regarding the purpose of such funds, and the guiding principles for prioritizing funding needs. The Committee considered total requests to the $12 million over three years, and evaluated and voted upon funding requests just for the first year 2009-2010 ($4 million) as requested.

Using the Control Point Requests Voting Template prepared for the Executive Budget Committee as a guide, the Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Budget identified the following line items as significant and consistent with the core mission of the University. Votes were taken for each item, and the following are listed in order of priority (items with the most Yes votes on top, items with the least at the bottom).

[Chart is presented on page 2.]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Funding Request for 2009-2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Maintain faculty FTEs &amp; student enrollment</td>
<td>$552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Maintain faculty-student clinic ratio at 1:8</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Maintain faculty FTEs - previously funded by Moore Fd.</td>
<td>$445,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>Fund State General Fund est. budget reductions - FY 2009-10</td>
<td>$655,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>Fund actual State General Fund permanent reductions - FY 2008-09</td>
<td>$273,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Improve Efficiency &amp; Cash Mgmt. - Grant Award Set-up - 4.00 FTEs</td>
<td>$365,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Fund actual State General Fund one-time reductions - FY 2008-09</td>
<td>$283,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>Fund CACS (Sustainability) recommendations and initiatives</td>
<td>$485,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AS1</td>
<td>Maintain committee stipends proposed for reduction (7% vs. 11% cut)</td>
<td>$22,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Additional staff to assist with accreditation</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Collaborative Learning Environment (CLE) Core Implementation</td>
<td>$243,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>R16</td>
<td>Fund 2.00 FTEs Add'l Staff for C&amp;G Subcontract Team</td>
<td>$177,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td>Maintain student travel &amp; housing support</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Support to Family &amp; Community Medicine</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,952,260</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Committee has specific concerns it would like to express with these recommendations for allocation. Members expressed a clear request that support recommended for Family and Community Medicine be specifically allocated to that department for teaching purposes. Additionally, discussion about the relationship of the Medical Center budget to the campus budget raised many questions for faculty that we hope can be addressed in subsequent budget years.

Rate additive funding requests were not voted upon, since these requests were addressed or withdrawn in the Executive Budget Committee discussion on May 16.

The recommendations for funding the University Development and Alumni Relations Office were considered. Both the recommendation to increase STIP fee from 75% to 100% and to increase the foundation administrative fee from 0.35% to 0.40% passed by a simple majority vote.

The Committee would like to express appreciation for the openness and relative transparency of the budgeting process that ensued this year. Members recognized that they were afforded unprecedented access to background data and detailed budgetary information. Committee members provided important reflections on the process of its decision making and principles – in addition to those provided by EBC— that guided their deliberations. These comments will be distilled and communicated at a future date.

In the interest of getting a timely response to you, we submit only the results of the committee’s vote.
Sincerely,

Catherine Chesla, RN, DNSc, FAAN
Chair, Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Budget

cc: David Gardner, MD, Chair, UCSF Academic Senate
All Committee Members