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The results of your survey are displayed below. If your survey includes text responses, click the “View” button to read individual results. To exclude a particular response, click the Included Responses button. You can then view the set of individual responses that are currently included and select those you wish to exclude. Results below contain only included responses

EXCLUDE BLANK RESPONSES

Launch Date 04/07/2006 - 2:22 PM
Modified Date
Close Date
Email Invites 1048
Visits 301 (29%)
Partials 0 (0%)
Completes 289 (28%)

Responses: ☐ Completes only ☐ Partials only ☐ Completes & Partials

As Submitted by the Davis Division of the Academic Senate and Approved for a mail ballot by Assembly of the Academic Senate on February 8, 2006:

RECITALS:

1. University of California research and development activities are important economic, intellectual, social, cultural and educational drivers for the State of California.

2. The ability to recruit the best graduate students from around-the-world is critical to the academic and research excellence of the
University of California.

3. Large numbers of non-resident graduate students finish their degrees and remain in California to start or become leaders in high-technology, bio-technology, and other businesses that contribute substantially to the California economy. Many also remain in California as the next generation of research scholars.

4. California’s competition in the global marketplace requires that we compete successfully for the best graduate students from around the world.

5. Non-resident tuition is a serious impediment to recruitment of graduate students from outside of the State of California, and, most particularly, graduate students from outside of the United States.

6. Non-resident tuition charged to academic graduate students (those students with terminal academic degree educational goals such as Ph.D. and MFA programs) is typically not paid by the student but is charged to faculty grants and other university resources. Thus, charging non-resident tuition to academic graduate students is a shift of university funds depleting resources that may be used for other purposes.

ARGUMENTS FOR:

The proponents of the Memorial argue that elimination of non-resident tuition (NRT) is important to the University of California’s ability to recruit doctoral-level graduate students from places beyond the State of California.

To view the arguments in favor of the Memorial, please click here.

ARGUMENTS AGAINST:

The opponents of the Memorial argue that the Memorial does not distinguish between California residents and non-residents, whether they be U.S. citizens or foreign nationals. The Memorial would give no financial advantage to graduate students who apply as residents of California.

To view arguments against the Memorial, please click here.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

The Academic Senate of the University of California requests that the Regents of the University of California structure and advocate a budget for the University that eliminates non-resident tuition for academic graduate students.

Should This Statement be Sent to the President of the University for Transmission to the UC Board of Regents?
1. **YES**
   - Number of Responses: 177
   - Response Ratio: 62%

2. **NO**
   - Number of Responses: 107
   - Response Ratio: 38%

**Total**
- Number of Responses: 284
- Response Ratio: 100%
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