

Studies of Tobacco-Industry Funded Research Conflicts of Interest

Lisa Bero

1. Montini T., Mangurian C., & **Bero L.** Assessing the evidence submitted in the development of a workplace smoking regulation: The case of Maryland. Public Health Reports, in press.
2. Shamasunder B., & **Bero L.** Financial Ties and conflicts of interest between pharmaceutical and tobacco companies. Journal of the American Medical Association, 2002; 288: 738-744.
3. Schotland M., & **Bero L.** Evaluating public commentary and scientific evidence submitted in the development of a risk assessment. Risk Analysis, 2002; 22: 131-140.
4. **Bero LA.**, Montini T., Bryan-Jones K., & Mangurian C. Science in regulatory policy making: Case studies in the development of workplace smoking restrictions. Tobacco Control, 2001; 10: 329-336.
5. Montini T., & **Bero L.** Policy makers' perspectives on public health advocates' roles in regulation development. Tobacco Control, 2001; 10: 218-224.
6. Boyd E., & **Bero L.** Assessing faculty financial relationships with industry: A case study. Journal of the American Medical Association, 2000; 284: 2209-2214.
7. Malone R., Boyd E., & **Bero L.** Science in the news: Journalists' constructions of passive smoking as a social problem. Social Studies of Science, 2000; 30: 713-735.
8. Mangurian C., & **Bero L.** Lessons learned from the tobacco industry's efforts to prevent the passage of a workplace smoking regulation. American Journal of Public Health, 2000; 90: 1926-1930.
9. Kennedy G., & **Bero L.** Print media coverage of research on passive smoking. Tobacco Control, 1999; 8: 254-260.
10. Misakian S., & **Bero L.** Publication bias and research on passive smoking. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1998; 280 (13): 250-253.
11. Barnes D., & **Bero L.** Why review articles on the health effects of passive smoking reach different conclusions. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1998; 279: 1566-1570.
12. Barnes D., & **Bero L.** Scientific quality of original research articles on environmental tobacco smoke. Tobacco Control, 1997; 6: 19-26.

13. Barnes D., & **Bero L.** Industry-funded research and conflict of interest: An analysis of research sponsored by the tobacco industry through the Center for Indoor Air Research. Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, 1996; 21 (3): 515-542.
14. **Bero LA.**, Barnes DE., Hanauer P., Slade J., & Glantz SA. Lawyer control of the tobacco industry's external research program: The Brown and Williamson Documents. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995; 274: 241-247.
15. Slade J., **Bero LA.**, Hanauer P, Barnes DE, & Glantz SA. Nicotine and addiction: The Brown and Williamson Documents. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995; 274: 225-233.
16. Hanauer P., Slade J., Barnes DE., **Bero LA.**, Glantz SA. Lawyer control of internal scientific research to protect against products liability lawsuits: The Brown and Williamson Documents. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995; 274: 234-240.
17. Barnes DE., Hanauer P., Slade J.,**Bero LA.**, & Glantz SA. Environmental tobacco smoke: The Brown and Williamson Documents. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995; 274: 248-253.
18. Glantz SA., Barnes DE., **Bero LA.**, Hanauer P., & Slade J. Looking through a keyhole at the tobacco industry: The Brown and Williamson Documents. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1995; 274: 219-224.
19. **Bero LA.**, Glantz SA., & Rennie D. Publication bias and public health policy on environmental tobacco smoke. Journal of the American Medical Association, 1994; 272: 133-136.
20. **Bero LA.**, & Glantz SA. Tobacco industry response to a risk assessment of environmental tobacco smoke. Tobacco Control, 1993; 2: 103-113.